Identification and hydrocarbon expulsion history simulation of the effective source rocks in the Dongying Formation, Paleogene, Bohai Sea area, eastern China
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ABSTRACT

This paper discusses a new method for identification and simulation of the hydrocarbon expulsion history of Effective Source Rocks (ESR) in the Dongying Formation (E3d), Bohai sea area, Bohai Bay basin, eastern China. This new method enables us to reliably identify the distribution and quantitatively determine the hydrocarbon expulsion history of ESR in petroliferous basins. ESR are the material basis for hydrocarbon accumulation, having important implications for oil and gas exploration prospects. The Bohai Bay basin is one of the most petroliferous basins in China, with nearly one third of the total oil production of the country. However, insufficient research on the ESR in the Dongying Formation (E3d), Bohai sea area, Bohai Bay basin obstructs further exploration. In this paper, ESR of E3d are identified with the new “two-stage and three-step” method, and their planar distribution is predicted by combining well data with sedimentary facies, and structure distribution. On that basis, the hydrocarbon expulsion history of ESR in the 2nd Member (E3d2) and 3rd Member (E3d3) of E3d was systematically simulated by using the hydrocarbon generation potential method. The results show that the ESR of E3d2 are distributed in four sags of Bohai Sea area, while the ESR of E3d3 occur in seven sags. Hydrocarbon expulsion from the ESR of E3d began during the deposition period of the Minghuazhen Formation (N1m) (12 Ma) with a hydrocarbon expulsion quantity of $42 \times 10^8$ t. The hydrocarbon expulsion centers were located in the Bozhong, Huanghekou, Qikou and Bodong sags. Hydrocarbon expulsion from the ESR of E3d3 began around 16.6 Ma ago (hydrocarbon expulsion quantity of $84 \times 10^8$ t), and the hydrocarbon expulsion centers were located in the Bozhong, Qinnan, Huanghekou, Liaozhong, Nanpu and Qikou sags. In conclusion, the hydrocarbon expulsion centers of the ESR of E3d are mainly located in Bozhong, Huanghekou, Qikou and Bodong sags, with late-stage hydrocarbon expulsion (16.6–2 Ma), which is advantageous to hydrocarbon accumulation and preservation. The uplifts and slope belts around the hydrocarbon expulsion centers would be favorable exploration targets.
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INTRODUCTION

The study of effective source rocks (ESR) relates to the accuracy of estimates of hydrocarbon resources and directly affects the exploration prospects in petrolierous basins. Tissot and Welte (1978) defined source rocks as rocks that already generated or have the ability to generate petroleum. Momper (1978) proposed the concept of ESR, defined as the rocks that could generate and expel commercial petroleum. Since then, many definitions of these rocks have been proposed, which can be divided into two major types: (1) source rocks that have already generated and expelled hydrocarbons (Sheng, 1989; Wang et al., 2003), and (2) source rocks that already expelled hydrocarbons and also have formed commercial hydrocarbon reservoirs via oil-source correlation (Hunt, 1979; Jones, 1981; Li et al., 1999; Jin, 2001; Jiang et al., 2002; Rao et al., 2003). Due to different cognition and research methods (Fu et al., 1983; England, 1987; Lewan and Williams, 1987; Leythaueser, 1988; Chen et al., 2004; Chen, 2005), certain differences also occur in the definitions of ESR. However, generating and expelling hydrocarbon is the precondition of ESR, and whether they can form commercial hydrocarbon reservoirs needs to be verified by exploration, which is difficult as it is affected by exploration progress and late-stage preservation. In addition, in the situation of the coexistence of multiple source rocks, although the amount of hydrocarbon expelled from some source rocks can be relatively small and is lost during secondary migration, this process can effectively avoid loss of hydrocarbon expelled from other source rocks, so those source rocks also make a contribution to hydrocarbon accumulation and can be considered to be ESR as well.

The Bohai Bay basin is one of the most petrolierous basins in China, with an exploration area of approximately 4.2×106 km² (Li, 1980), accounting for nearly one third of the total oil production of China. Oil and gas exploration in the Bohai sea area began in 1966; seven oil and gas fields have been successively confirmed in this area, including PL19-3, SZ36-1, among others. So far, 48 oil and gas fields and 100 hydrocarbon bearing structures have been discovered in the Bohai Sea area with proven OOIP (original oil in place) of about 24×108 m³ and OGIP (original gas in place) of about 500×108 m³. With its cumulative production exceeding 50×104 t oil equivalent (Zuo et al., 2010), the Bohai Sea area is still the main oil and gas exploration target in eastern China. Currently discovered oil and gas
fields show an unbalanced geological distribution, with shallow buried fields being more abundant than deep buried ones, and fields are locally distributed. The main reason is the complex geological conditions and inadequate research on source rocks, especially the research of ESR, which handicaps further exploration. A new method for identification and hydrocarbon expulsion history simulation of ESR in E_3d, Bohai Sea area is discussed in this paper. This new method enables us to reliably recognize the distribution and quantitatively determine the hydrocarbon expulsion history of ESR in petroliferous basins.

**GEOLOGICAL SETTING**

**Geographic location and tectonic framework**

The Bohai Sea area is located between the Tanlu and Cangdong faults, belongs to the seaward extension part of four primary structural units, including Liaohe and Huanghua depressions, Chengning uplift and Jiyang depression. The Bohai Sea area contains mainly 15 sags and 16 uplifts (Figure 1, Zhang, 2000; Tang et al., 2011).

Affected by Caledonian, Indosinian and Yanshanian
movements, the Bohai Sea area was uplifted and underwent weathering and denudation over a long geological time. During the Cenozoic sedimentation period, influenced by Himalayan movement, the structural evolution of hydrocarbon-bearing areas in Bohai is characterized by polyphase rifting, multicycle superimposition and polygenous mechanism, and is divided into a Paleogene rifting stage and a Neogene—Quaternary post-rifting thermal subsidence stage (Hou et al., 2000; Gong and Wang, 2001; Gong, 2004; Sun et al., 2008). The rifting stage can be further divided into four evolution phases: I, period from the Kongdian Formation (E₁k) to the 4th Member of the Shahejie Formation (E₅s₄) (55–42 Ma); II, period of the 3rd Member of the Shahejie Formation (E₅s₃) (42–38 Ma); III, period of the 1st and 2nd Member of the Shahejie Formation (E₅s₁₋₂) (38–32.8 Ma); and IV, period of Dongying Formation (E₃d) (32.8–24.6 Ma). The post-rifting thermal subsidence stage can be further divided into phase I corresponding to the sedimentation period from Guantao Formation (N₁g) to the 2nd Member of the Minghuazhen Formation (N₁m₂) (16.6–5.1 Ma), and phase II from the 1st Member of the Minghuazhen Formation (N₁m₁) to the Quaternary (5.1–0 Ma) (Figure 2; Gong, 2004).

Correlated with the tectonic evolution, the sedimentation and subsidence center of the Bohai Bay basin migrated from land to sea, forming a structural framework of land depressions intersecting in the sea area. The thickness of sediment increased gradually from the Paleogene to the Neogene in the sea area (Xu et al., 2008).

![Figure 2. Sedimentary strata, tectonic evolution and combination of source rocks, reservoirs and cap rocks in the Bohai Sea area (Gong, 2004).](image-url)
Sedimentary strata

The sedimentary formations in the Bohai sea area mainly include Paleogene, Neogene and Quaternary sediments from bottom to top (Figure 2; Gong, 2004). Rift valley sedimentation is preserved in the Paleogene strata, which indicate that lake basins with lacustrine sedimentation were well developed. In the Neogene, lakes basically disappeared, and deposition was characterized by fluvial plain and shore-shallow lake environments. Quaternary strata are mainly marine deposits. According to lithologic features, the whole Cenozoic basin-filling sequence can be divided into six formations from the bottom up (Figure 2, Gong, 2004): Kongdian (E1k), Shahejie (E2s) and Dongying formations (E2d) of Paleogene age, Guantao (N1g) and Minghuazhen formations (N1+,m) of Neogene age, and the Pingyuan Formation (Qp) of Quaternary age (Figure 2, Gong, 2004).

Introduction to oil and gas geology, and characteristics of source rocks

Reservoirs in the Bohai Sea area are mainly distributed around Bozhong, Huanghekou and Liaozhong sags, and the biggest offshore reservoir PL19-3 is situated at the Bonan lower uplift between the Bozhong and Huanghekou sags (Figure 1). Industrial oil flows have been found in Shahejie, Dongying, Guantao and Minghuazhen formations, and they are mainly in the 1st Member of the Dongying Formation and in the Guantao Formation, where the discovered oil and gas reserve is roughly 50% of total discovered reserve (Zuo et al., 2010). The distribution of main source rocks, reservoirs and caprocks in the Bohai Sea area is shown in Figure 2.

Four sets of source rocks are developed in the Bohai Sea area: the 3rd Member of the Shahejie Formation (E2s3), the 1st and 2nd Members of the Shahejie Formation (E2s1+2), the 3rd Member of Dongying Formation (E2d3), and the 2nd Member of Dongying Formation (E2d2) (Figure 2, Gong, 2004). So far, due to its deep burial depth, 58 wells have been drilled in the source rocks of E2s3, and the bottom boundary is deepest in the Bozhong sag (8000 m) and it exceeds 3000 m in most of the sea area.

The source rocks of E2s1+2 are extensively distributed, but their thickness is rather low with an average thickness less than 200 m. The lithology of the source rocks of E2s1+2 is mainly dark mudstone deposits, and their maximum burial depth can reach 6000 m in the Bozhong sag.

The dark mudstones of E2d have a large thickness and a wide distribution range. The sedimentary facies include fan delta, braided river delta, sublacustrine fan, and lake (Xu, 1981). Lacustrine deposit systems are widely distributed and two main subsidence centers formed in the Bozhong and Liaozhong sags (Deng et al., 2011). The lithology is thick dark gray-blackish mudstone interbedded with thin sandstone. Deep and semi-deep lake deposits were developed in the deep depressed part of all sags, and shore-shallow lake deposits were distributed in the slope part of lake basins. The maximum strata thickness is 1080 m, with an average of about 600 m. The maximum burial depth is 5500 m in the Bozhong sag. The sedimentary facies of E2d2 correspond to fan delta, braided river delta, meandering river delta, reticular river delta, sublacustrine fan, and lake. Semi-deep to deep lake deposits developed in the deep depressed part of sags. The lithology assemblage contains mainly thick-bedded, grayish-black and black mudstone interbedded with thin sandstone; the subsidence center was located in the Bozhong sag. The maximum strata thickness is 1650 m with an average thickness of about 800 m, and on the whole, the burial depth is around 1000 to 4500 m.

Many studies of source rocks of the Shahejie Formation have been conducted (Chi, 2001; Hu et al., 2001; Wang and Zhang, 2003; Jiang et al., 2010a), while systematic and further research on the source rocks of E2d is rare (Li et al., 2001; Huang and Li, 2002). With recent exploration practices, oil and gas discoveries in E2d has increased greatly (Wang et al., 2006). Therefore, the comprehensive study of the effectiveness and hydrocarbon supply capacity of the source rocks in E2d and their variation in different sags is of great geologic significance for furthering the recognition of oil and gas sources and expanding the exploration extent in the Bohai Sea area. Especially, with the development of seismic exploration in recent years, plenty of geologic and geochemical data of source rocks have been obtained, enabling systematic evaluation of source rocks. Aiming at the existing problems in exploration, combining with the new recognition of the regional structural evolution history and sedimentary facies distribution, by systematically analyzing drilling data and geochemical data, in this paper the ESR of E2d are identified and their hydrocarbon expulsion history is outlined. The study provides reference information on oil and gas resource potential to guide exploration directions in the Bohai Sea area.

METHODS

Determination of ESR

Many methods for determining ESR have been proposed, including identification through simulation experiments, identification based on a lower limit of organic carbon content, and identification using analysis of the hydrocarbon content in closely sampled core samples (Jiang et al., 2010b). However, the applications of these methods are limited by core availability, experimental analysis cost, sample amount and experiment conditions, which leads to poor maneuverability and lopsided results. This paper discusses a new method for identification of the ESR in E2d, named the “two-stage and three-step method” (Jiang et al., 2010b). This new method enables us to reliably determine distribution of ESR in petroliferous basins. The process of this method is as follows:
**Stage 1: Geological statistics**

**Step 1.** Collect thickness data for dark mudstones from wells in the study area, set up a database of dark mudstone thickness (Figure 3a), then extrapolate to the areas with less or no wells, on the basis of sedimentary facies, seismic facies data, etc., and finally, obtain the planar distribution of the dark mudstone in the study area (Zhang et al., 2007).

**Stage 2: Geological analysis**

On the basis of stage 1 results, determine the thickness of ESR in bulk dark mudstone by means of geochemical analysis. Stage 2 can be further divided into two steps: step 2 and step 3.

**Step 2.** Apply the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold theory (Pang, 1995) to identify the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold of source rocks and eliminate the dark mudstones that have not crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold.

Basic approach. The hydrocarbon expulsion threshold refers to the critical geologic condition at which the amount of hydrocarbon generated satisfies the residual needs for hydrocarbon in various processes, including...
Hydrocarbon expulsion, Dongying Formation, Bohai Sea area, eastern China

Total organic content (TOC) ratio could be used to delineate the potential of source rocks to generate hydrocarbons. In other words, it could reflect volumes of hydrocarbons generated by per unit of TOC in the source rocks. Zhou and Pang (2002) called the \( \frac{(S_1+S_2)}{TOC} \) ratio as Hydrocarbon Generation Potential Index. Hydrocarbon expulsion begins when the index starts to decrease and the critical geologic condition is defined as the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold. Those dark mudstones that have not crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold could be reasonably eliminated after determining the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold.

Different types of organic matter in source rocks will result in differences in hydrocarbon generation potential and hydrocarbon expulsion (Pang, 1995). The organic matter types of source rocks in the study area can be classified into three groups: Type I kerogen is mainly located in the center of the sag, Type III is distributed in the edge of the sag, and type II is located between them (Li et al., 2001; Chi, 2001; Wang et al., 2004). Thus, in this paper, three hydrocarbon expulsion geological models are established. The models are

![Figure 4. Hydrocarbon expulsion models of different types of organic matter in E1d in the Bohai Sea area.](image-url)
based on the type of kerogen (Figure 4), using a database of 1234 Rock-Eval pyrolysis analysis of samples from the Dongying Formation, Bohai Sea area (Table 1).

**Step 3.** Determine the ESR that have crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold using the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold chart.

Basic approach. The abundance of organic matter in source rocks is strongly heterogeneous. Therefore, the bulk dark mudstone which has crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold may still contain some parts that cannot expel hydrocarbons due to poor quality. These kinds of dark mudstones can be calibrated using a hydrocarbon expulsion threshold identification chart. The effective thickness of source rocks can be determined by using the correlation chart of organic matter abundance, kerogen type and thermal evolution degree, which can get rid of the dark mudstone that cannot expel hydrocarbon due to their poor quality (Figure 3c). Data calibration and mapping are performed to get the planar thickness distribution of ESR by using the ratio of the obtained ESR to the formation thickness in wells. Hydrocarbon Source Rock Index (SRI) charts are used in this paper (Pang, 2003). The SRI represents the percentage of the actually expelled hydrocarbon compared to the amount of hydrocarbon that would be expelled from optimal source rocks. Source rocks of the semi lacustrine to deep lacustrine facies of the Qing Shankou Formation, deposited in Songliao Basin, are considered to be the best ones in the China eastern continental sedimentary basin because they have the highest organic matter abundance and high to very high organic matter maturity. Therefore, source rocks of Qing Shankou Formation were utilized as a standard in the evaluation of source rocks, being termed as the optimal source rocks. The equation to calculate the SRI is as follows:

$$SRI = (Q_p - Q_{em})/Q_{em} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where $Q_p$ and $Q_{em}$ represent the hydrocarbon generation amount of source rocks and the critical saturation amount of residual hydrocarbons, respectively; $Q_{em}$ represents the amount of hydrocarbon expulsion from optimal source rocks.

Values of SRI<0 mean that the amount of hydrocarbons generated in rocks is less than the critical saturation amount of residual hydrocarbons, and thus the rocks are non-source rocks; on the contrary, rocks are considered source rocks when SRI >0. The larger the SRI, the better the quality of source rocks (Figure 3c). A statistical analysis of numerous geologic data undertaken by Pang (1995) shows that the hydrocarbon generation, retention and expulsion amount is determined by TOC, vitrinite reflectance (Ro) and source rock type. Based on this recognition, Pang (1995) established the relation chart of SRI versus the above three parameters to distinguish source rocks from non-source rocks.

**Method for hydrocarbon expulsion history simulation**

The hydrocarbon generation potential method (Zhou and Pang, 2002; Pang et al., 2005) is used for the reconstruction of hydrocarbon generation and expulsion processes. When no hydrocarbon has been expelled, the hydrocarbon potential of source rocks is known as initial hydrocarbon generation potential index ($HCl_p$); when hydrocarbon expulsion begins, the hydrocarbon potential decreases gradually and the hydrocarbon potential can be known as residual hydrocarbon generation potential index ($HCl_r$). The differentials between the maximum hydrocarbon generation potential index and the residual hydrocarbon generation potential index is the hydrocarbon expulsion ratio $q_e$, which is the hydrocarbon amount expelled per unit of organic carbon, since source rocks crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold, in mg/g. $Q_e$ represents the cumulative hydrocarbon expulsion amount of source rocks in geological history, $Q_r$ represents the residual hydrocarbon amount in source rocks (unit: g), and $Q_p$ is the hydrocarbon generation amount of source rocks (unit: g) (Pang et al., 2005, Figure 5).

The hydrocarbon generation potential index represents the hydrocarbon expulsion ratio of source rocks, and the profile area represents the cumulative hydrocarbon expulsion amount of source rocks in geological history. Based on this principle, the equations for calculating the hydrocarbon

![Figure 5. Operational models for the quantification of hydrocarbon expulsion (Pang et al., 2005).](image-url)
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Figure 6. Relationship between the ratio of dark mudstone thickness to formation thickness and sedimentary facies in E$_{d2}$ and E$_{d3}$ in the Bohai sea area.

expulsion intensity and hydrocarbon expulsion amount are as follows:

$$ E_{hc} = \int_{Z_0}^{\max} q_i(z) \cdot H \cdot \rho(z) \cdot TOC \cdot dz $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$ Q_e = \int_{Z_0}^{\max} q_i(z) \cdot H \cdot S(n) \cdot \rho(z) \cdot TOC \cdot dz \cdot dh $$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Where $E_{hc}$ represents the hydrocarbon expulsion intensity in t/km$^2$; $q_i(z)$ represents the hydrocarbon expulsion ratio per unit of organic carbon in mg/g; $Q_e$ represents the hydrocarbon expulsion amount in t; $Z$ represents the burial depth in m; $Z_0$ represents the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold; $\rho(z)$ represents the density of source rocks in g/cm$^3$; TOC represents the organic carbon mass fraction in wt%; $H$ represents the thickness of source rocks in m; $S(n)$ represents the area of source rocks in m$^2$.

The hydrocarbon potential evolution of source rocks in each geological epoch can be simulated by combining the hydrocarbon generation potential index of source rocks with the burial history results. Combined with organic matter abundance, organic matter type, as well as evolution degree, the hydrocarbon expulsion process of source rocks in each geological epoch can be simulated.

RESULTS

Distribution of ESR in the E$_{d2}$ in the Bohai Sea area

Distribution of dark mudstone and source rocks in E$_{d2}$

So far, 165 wells have been drilled in the E$_{d2}$ and 36 wells have been drilled in the E$_{d3}$ of the Bohai Sea area. The results show that the dark mudstone thickness of E$_{d2}$ ranges from 2 to 814 m, averaging 180 m, and that the thickness of E$_{d3}$ ranges from 3.5 to 496 m with a mean thickness of 143 m. Considering that these unevenly drilled wells are mainly located on uplifts, the relationship between the dark mudstone thickness and sedimentary facies is obtained by evaluating the ratio of the dark mudstone thickness to the formation thickness in wells and sedimentary facies (Figure 6). For the area of the dark mudstone with less wells, thickness can be predicted from sedimentary facies and strata thickness. Finally, the map view distribution of the dark mudstone of E$_{d2}$ can be derived (Figure 7).

The results suggest that the dark mudstone in E$_{d2}$ is widely distributed throughout the area. The maximum thickness is 700 m in Bozhong sag, much thicker than that of 500 m in Liaozhong sag, 400 m in Qikou sag and about 400 m in Huanghekou sag (Figure 7a). Compared with that in E$_{d2}$, the distribution of dark mudstone in E$_{d3}$ is relatively limited in some regions. It is distributed mainly in Bozhong and Liaozhong sags, with maximum thicknesses of 700 m and 600 m respectively. The thickness of E$_{d3}$ dark mudstone is relatively small or only appears in deep depressions of other sags (Figure 7b). As a whole, although the dark mudstone thickness in E$_{d2}$ is large, the percentage of ESR, which is of great importance for the further exploration, remains unclear.

Determination whether the dark mudstone has crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold. According to the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold depth (Figure 4), the dark mudstone that has not crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold can be directly eliminated, thus the dark mudstone thickness which has crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold can be determined.

Determination of the dark mudstone that cannot expel hydrocarbon using the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold chart. Typical wells with sufficient geochemical data and
lithologic profiles were selected for statistical analysis, and actual hydrocarbon expulsion determination charts of typical wells in different strata were established (Figure 8) to discriminate whether dark mudstones have crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold. In addition, combining the results of the dark mudstone that have not crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold as described above, a comprehensive data table (Table 2) of the percentage of ESR in the bulk dark mudstones in each stratum can be obtained. Based on the distribution of dark mudstone, the distribution characteristics of the ESR in E$_{d2}$ can be determined in map view (Figure 9).

The ESR in E$_{d2}$ is distributed in Bozhong, Huanghekou and Qikou sags (Figure 9a). In other sags, there is no ESR in E$_{d2}$ due to the relatively shallow burial depth in most cases. In addition, although have crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold, a part of source rocks still cannot expel hydrocarbon due to the relatively low organic matter abundance and low evolution degree, which limits the distribution of ESR. The ESR thickness in E$_{d2}$ has a maximum of 500 m in Bozhong sag and 300 m in Huanghekou sag. Although the maximum ESR thickness in Qikou sag is 400 m, it has a relatively limited distribution. The distribution of the ESR in E$_{d3}$ is relatively wider in Bozhong, Huanghekou, Liaozhong and Qikou sags. The maximum thickness is 600 m in Bozhong sag and 400 m in the north of Liaozhong sag.

Figure 7. Thickness distribution of dark mudstone of E$_{d}$ in the Bohai Sea area.

Figure 8. Hydrocarbon expulsion discrimination chart of typical single wells in E$_{d}$ in the Bohai sea area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Well</th>
<th>SRI (TOC)</th>
<th>Ro (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QK17-1-1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BZ25-1-5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QK18-1-1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JZ16-2-1</td>
<td>&gt;75</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The maximum thickness is 300 m in both Huanghekou and Qikou sags (Figure 9b).

**Hydrocarbon expulsion history of source rocks in E3d**

After recognizing the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold and the relationship between hydrocarbon expulsion ratio and depth in different organic matter types, based on the data of source rock thickness, current buried depth, organic matter abundance and organic types, etc., the hydrocarbon expulsion intensity and hydrocarbon expulsion amount of source rocks can be calculated by using the hydrocarbon generation potential method (Equations 2 and 3). In addition, the hydrocarbon generation potential curve of source rocks, reflecting the hydrocarbon expulsion evolution at different burial depths, is a function of the effects of various geologic factors. Therefore, on the basis of ascertaining the burial history of source rocks, defining the extent of ESR by hydrocarbon expulsion threshold, determining the evolution of the hydrocarbon generation potential curve, combined with data on effective source rock thickness, organic matter abundance and organic matter type, etc., the hydrocarbon expulsion history of source rocks and the hydrocarbon expulsion amount during each period can be derived.

**Evolution of hydrocarbon generation kitchens**

Hydrocarbon expulsion history of source rocks in E3d2. Source rocks of E3d2 began to expel hydrocarbons at around the end of Minghuazhen Formation sedimentary period (12–2 Ma), with corresponding burial depth of 2800 m, and were mainly restricted to Bozhong and Qikou sags. In that period, source rocks in Qikou sag just crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold and had a limited hydrocarbon expulsion extent and low hydrocarbon expulsion intensity of less than 40×10^4 t/km^2 (Figure 10a). Source rocks of E3d2 in Bozhong sag reached the maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity of 80×10^4 t/km^2 at the burial depth of 3400 m (Figure 10a). At present, the maximum expulsion rate of source rocks is 550 mg/g (type I), and its expulsion extent has been gradually increased. The maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity has reached 40×10^4 t/km^2 and 160×10^4 t/km^2 in Qikou and Bozhong sags, respectively (Figure 10b).

Hydrocarbon expulsion history of source rocks in E3d3. Source rocks of E3d3 began to expel hydrocarbons around the end of Guantao Formation sedimentary period (12 Ma), with corresponding burial depth of 2800 m, and were mainly restricted to Bozhong and Qinnan sags. During this period,
the maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity was $160 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$ in the Bozhong sag and $40 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$ in the Qinnan sag (Figure 11a). By the end of Minghuazhen Formation sedimentary period, when the average burial depth was around 3400 m, source rocks reached their maximum hydrocarbon expulsion rate and their extent was increasing. There was hydrocarbon expulsion in the Bozhong, Qinnan, Qikou, and Huanghekou sags and in the northern part of the Liaozhong sag, and the maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity in Bozhong sag was $200 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$. A small difference of the maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity existed in other sags with a maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity range of $40 \times 10^4$ – $80 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$, whereas their hydrocarbon expulsion extents were slightly different (Figure 11b). The source rocks of $E_d^2$ have expelled hydrocarbons in large areas, and Bozhong, Bodong and Qinnan sags are characterized by continuous hydrocarbon expulsion, with a hydrocarbon expulsion rate of $550 \text{mg/g}$ (type I). The accumulative maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity was $280 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$ in Bozhong sag and $80 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$ in Bodong and Qinnan sags. Hydrocarbon expulsion in Qikou, Liaozhong and Huanghekou sags also occurs over wide areas, but their maximum hydrocarbon expulsion intensity varies little and mainly ranges from $40 \times 10^4$ to $80 \times 10^4$ t/km$^2$ (Figure 11c).

**Evolution of hydrocarbon expulsion amount variation**

The hydrocarbon expulsion amount reflects the migration evolution of hydrocarbon expulsion centers of source rocks in each period, and the expelled amount is the best indicator of the amount of hydrocarbons supplied from source rocks. Through volume integration of hydrocarbon expulsion intensity of source rocks in each period, total amount of hydrocarbons expelled from these two sets of source rocks in $E_d$ was obtained. Accumulative average thickness, accumulative areas of hydrocarbon expulsion, and expelled volume of hydrocarbons for source rocks of $E_d$ in different geological times are reported in Table 3.

**Evolution of hydrocarbon expulsion amount of source rocks in $E_d$.** The hydrocarbon expulsion amount of source rocks of $E_d^2$ was $27 \times 10^8$ t during about 12–2 Ma, with $25 \times 10^8$ t hydrocarbon derived from the Bozhong sag, making up $90\%$ of the total expulsion amount. The amounts of hydrocarbon expulsion in Bodong and Qikou sags were only $0.65 \times 10^8$ t and $1.0 \times 10^8$ t, respectively, indicating that the expulsion center of the source rocks in $E_d^2$ was Bozhong sag during this period. Influenced by sedimentary environment and burial conditions, the source rocks of $E_d^2$ in Bozhong sag had a relatively high evolution degree and a large expulsion extent. During the sedimentation period of 2.0–0 Ma, the total hydrocarbon expulsion amount was $15 \times 10^8$ t, including $8 \times 10^8$ t in Bozhong sag, $2.5 \times 10^8$ t in Huanghekou sag, $4 \times 10^8$ t in Qikou sag, and $0.6 \times 10^8$ t in Bodong sag. These results suggest that the hydrocarbon expulsion of the source rocks in $E_d^2$ was relatively scattered in this period, and the expulsion amount remarkably increased in Qikou and Huanghekou sags (Figure 12). The evolution of the expulsion amount indicate that the source rocks of $E_d^2$ began to expel hydrocarbons in the Minghuazhen Formation sedimentary period, and that the hydrocarbon expulsion center was mainly located in Bozhong sag. Due
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Figure 11. Evolution of hydrocarbon expulsion intensities of source rocks of E<sub>d3</sub>, Bohai Sea area.

The expulsion of hydrocarbon from source rocks of E<sub>d3</sub> began at about 16.6–12.0 Ma with hydrocarbon expulsion of 20×10<sup>8</sup> t, including 18.4×10<sup>8</sup> t in the Bozhong sag, and only 1.6×10<sup>8</sup> t in the Qinnan sag. From 12.0 Ma to 2.0 Ma, the hydrocarbon expulsion amount increased rapidly to about 38×10<sup>8</sup> t. The source rocks in Bodong, Huanghekou, Liaozhong, Liaodong and Qikou sags also crossed the hydrocarbon expulsion threshold and began to expel. The largest hydrocarbon expulsion amount of 26×10<sup>8</sup> t was in the Bozhong sag, followed by Qikou sag with 4×10<sup>8</sup> t, and Qinnan sag with 2×10<sup>8</sup> t. However, for the other sags, the hydrocarbon expulsion amounts are mostly less than 2.0×10<sup>8</sup> t, for instance, Huanghekou sag had the lowest hydrocarbon expulsion amount of about 0.85×10<sup>8</sup> t. From 2.0 Ma to the present, Nanpu sag expelled 2.5×10<sup>8</sup> t of hydrocarbon. The hydrocarbon expulsion amount in Bozhong sag diminished sharply to only 8.5×10<sup>8</sup> t, indicating the source rocks had passed their hydrocarbon expulsion peak. The hydrocarbon expulsion amount in Qikou sag increased to 4.5×10<sup>8</sup> t, which was equivalent to that expelled during 16.6–12.0 Ma. The hydrocarbon expulsion amounts were 2.4×10<sup>8</sup> t in the Bodong sag, 3×10<sup>8</sup> t in Qinnan sag, 2.2×10<sup>8</sup> t in Liaozhong sag, 1.6×10<sup>8</sup> t in Liaodong sag, and 1×10<sup>8</sup> t in Huanghekou sag. Hydrocarbon expulsion amounts in these sags increased slightly compared with previous expulsion periods (Figure 12).

The results of hydrocarbon expulsion amount evolution suggest that the source rocks in E<sub>d3</sub> began to expel hydrocarbons at about 16.6–12 Ma ago, and the hydrocarbon expulsion center was located in the Bozhong sag. From 12 Ma to 2 Ma, source rocks in the Bozhong sag reached the hydrocarbon expulsion peak, and the hydrocarbon expulsion
extent increased to seven hydrocarbon expulsion sags. From 2 Ma to present, although the amount of hydrocarbon expulsion diminished sharply in the Bozhong sag, it increased slightly in other sags, indicating that the source rocks in medium to small sags just entered their hydrocarbon expulsion peak during this period.

CONCLUSIONS

Dark mudstones of E_d in Bohai Sea area are widely distributed with large thickness, being the thickness of E_d2 dark mudstone larger than that of E_d3. However, the effective source rocks of E_d have a limited distribution. ESR of E_d2 are mainly located in Bozhong, Huanghekou, Qikou and Bodong sags, with an average thickness of 200 m; while ESR distribution of E_d3 is relatively broad, mainly in Bozhong, Bodong, Huanghekou, Liaozhong, Qinnan, Qikou and Nanpu sags, with an average thickness of 230 m.

The hydrocarbon expulsion history simulation results indicate that the source rocks of E_d2 began to expel hydrocarbons at around 12–2 Ma (Minghuazheng Formation sedimentary period). So far, the cumulative hydrocarbon expulsion amount is 41.95×10^8 t, with the hydrocarbon expulsion center mainly located in the Bozhong sag, from where the hydrocarbon expulsion accounts for over 90%, whereas the hydrocarbon expulsion amount is very small in Bodong, Huanghekou and Qikou sags. Source rocks of E_d3 began to expel hydrocarbons at about 16.6–12 Ma (Guantao Formation sedimentary period). So far, the cumulative hydrocarbon expulsion amount has been 83.75×10^8 t. In addition to the hydrocarbon expulsion center of Bozhong

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>E_d2</th>
<th>E_d3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23–12 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative average expulsion thickness (m)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative expulsion area (km^2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expelled volume of hydrocarbons (10^8 t)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–2 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative average expulsion thickness (m)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative expulsion area (km^2)</td>
<td>7914</td>
<td>8190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expelled volume of hydrocarbons (10^8 t)</td>
<td>26.68</td>
<td>38.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–0 Ma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative average expulsion thickness (m)</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative expulsion area (km^2)</td>
<td>14167</td>
<td>16282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expelled volume of hydrocarbons (10^8 t)</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>25.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expelled volume of hydrocarbons (10^8 t)</td>
<td>41.95</td>
<td>83.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Characteristics of hydrocarbon expulsion for source rocks of the Dongying Formation in the Bohai Sea area in different geological times.

Figure 12. Evolution of hydrocarbon expulsion amount from the effective source rocks (ESR) of Dongying Formation in different sags.
sag, hydrocarbons are also expelled from source rocks in Liaozhong, Qinan, Qikou and Huanghekou sags. Source rocks of $E_2$ make greater contribution to hydrocarbon accumulation than those of $E_3$ in Bohai Sea area.

Comprehensive analysis reveals that the Minghuazhen Formation sedimentary period was the main hydrocarbon accumulation stage. Source rocks are still expelling hydrocarbon, which is very significant to hydrocarbon accumulation, advantageous for oil and gas gathering, and favorable for the preservation of oil and gas.
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