

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

for the paper:

**Evidence for quaternary seismic activity of the La Alberca-Teremendo fault,
Morelia region, Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt**

by:

**Diana Cinthia Soria-Caballero, Víctor Hugo Garduño-Monroy, María Alcalá,
María Magdalena Velázquez-Bucio, and Laura Grassi**

Published in
Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Geológicas, vol. 36, núm. 2, 2019, p. 242-258

Supplementary Table 1. Main tectonic structures with regional influence in the study area. The total length of the regional faults are measured on the sinuous scarp and include the visible trace on surface and the inferred sub-surface trace.

Name	Total length (km)	Direction	Throw (m)		Type of displacement
			Min	Max	
La Cañada	9.047	WSW – ENE	10	60	Dip slip
Lobato	8.049	WSW – ENE	10	28	Dip slip
Tecacho – Pantoja	4.434	WSW – ENE	5	30	Dip slip
El Malpaso – El Salto	25	WSW – ENE	4	70	Dip slip – Left lateral
Puruátiro	12.059	WSW – ENE	5	50	Dip slip
El Caracol	4.3	WSW – ENE	2	20	Dip slip
La Alberca – Teremendo	26.176	WSW – ENE	1.4	50	Dip slip – Left lateral
Cayihuanatin	8.034	SW – NE	10	76	Dip slip
<i>Alignments</i>					
Alignment 21	2.253	SW – NE	---	---	Alignment
El Tzirate	16.723	SSW – NNE	---	---	Alignment

Supplementary Table 2. Classification of normal faults exposed in trench T1, according to the displacement generated on the phreatomagmatic sequence of the La Alberca de Guadalupe maar.

Categories	Percentaje of phreatomagmatic sequence affected	Vertical displacement (cm)	Horizontal Opening (cm)
First order	100% Reach the surface	20 – 70	5 – 65
Second order	90% Do not reach the surface	7 – 20	0
Third order	<90% >30%	4 – 7	0
Fourth order	<30%	<4	0

Supplementary Table 3. Physicochemical properties of the soils exposed in T2 trench. Data results were obtained at the Laboratorio de Edafología of the Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo and the Laboratorio de Suelos of Centro de Investigaciones en Geografía Ambiental, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México.

Parameter	Surface soil				Buried soil		
	A1p layer	A2p layer	Btss layer	Css layer	2C1ss layer	2C2ss layer	
Depth (cm)	0 – 4	4 – 16	16 - 50	50 – 80	80 – 112	112 – 124	
Ph	H ₂ O	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.8	7.9	8.1
	KCl	6.3	6.3	5.8	6.1	6.3	6.4
	NaF+phe	Positive for the presence of non-crystalline components					
Humidity (%)	7.0	14.5	15.4	13.3	14.6	17.5	
Organic matter (%)	10.3	6.9	4.5	2.4	4.8	5.5	
Organic carbon (%)	6	4	2.6	1.4	2.8	3.2	
COLE	0.07	0.12	0.20	0.14	0.17	0.12	
Soil separates (%)	Sand	27.2	28.4	9.2	8.8	9.4	10.2
	Silt	44.4	41.3	38.0	41.2	39.8	33.4
	Clay	28.4	30.4	52.8	50.0	50.8	56.4
Textural classification	Clay loam	Clay loam	Clay	Silty clay	Silty clay	Clay	
Exchangeable basic cations (cmol (+) / kg)	K ⁺	0.83	0.31	0.34	0.33	0.31	0.37
	Na ⁺	0.11	0.15	0.73	0.83	0.89	1.03
	Ca ⁺²	19.14	20.10	15.42	13.19	14.43	15.08
	Mg ⁺²	6.85	7.44	10.52	9.41	10.57	9.56
	SUM	26.93	27.99	27.00	23.77	26.20	26.03
CEC (cmol (+) / kg)	31.20	31.30	30.51	26.70	26.80	26.50	
Base saturation (%)	86.32	89.44	88.48	89.02	97.77	98.82	
Phosphate retention (%)	38	39	33	30	Undetermined		
AlO (%)	0.9	0.9	0.9	1.0	Undetermined		
FeO (%)	1.8	1.8	1.6	2.0	Undetermined		
SiO (%)	0.78	0.71	0.54	0.71	Undetermined		
Alpy (%)	0.2	0.3	1.1	1.0	Undetermined		
AlO + ½FeO (%)	2	2	2	2	Undetermined		
Alpy/AlOX (%)	0.23	0.30	1.15	0.98	Undetermined		

NaF+phe = pH measured in NaF and phenolphthalein. COLE = coefficient of linear extensibility (Simon *et al.*, 1987). SUM = Addition of all exchangeable basic cations. CEC = cation exchange capacity; AlO, FeO, SiO = acid oxalate-extractable aluminum, iron and silicon; Alpy = pyrophosphate-extractable aluminum; AlO+½FeO = Oxalate index; Alpy/AlOX = Andic properties index.

Supplementary Table 4. Empirical relations used to assess the potential magnitude (M_w and M_s) for a displacement event of a given fault, input parameters and particularities. These selection corresponds to the more suitable relations for the characteristics of the study area.

Author	Relation	Parameters
Wells and Coppersmith (1994)	$M_w = 6.94 + 1.14 \log (VD_{aver})$ $M_w = 5.08 + 1.16 * \log (SRL)$ <i>Specifications:</i> used for normal faults, constant stress drop (30 bar).	VD_{aver} (average vertical displacement in m) SRL (Surface Rupture Length in km)
Hanks and Kanamori (1979)	$M_w = \log ((\mu (4/3 \text{ LRS}) WD_{prom}) - 16.05) / 1.5$ <i>Specifications:</i> used for earthquakes worldwide.	μ (Crust rigidity) W (Width of the fault plane) D_{aver} (average vertical displacement)
Anderson et al., (1996)	$M_w = 5.2 (\pm 0.12) + 1.16 (\pm 0.07) * \log(LRS) - 0.20$ $(\pm 0.04) * \log S$	SRL in km S (slip rate in mm/year) <i>Specifications:</i> for a given value of SRL, faults with minor slip rates are associated to bigger magnitudes than faults with higher slip rates. The data base combine information from interplate and intraplate earthquakes.
Stirling et al., (2002)	$M_w = 5.88 (\pm 0.17) + 0.80 (\pm 0.1) * \log (SRL)$ SPECIFICATIONS: applies to surface ruptures with >5 km lengths.	SRL in km
Wesnousky (2008)	$M_w = 6.12 + 0.47 * \log (SLR)$ <i>Specifications:</i> used for normal faults in landscapes with >10km-thick crusts	SRL in km
Mohammadioun and Serva (2001)	$M_s = 2 * \log L + 1.33 * \log \Delta\sigma + 1.66$ <i>Specifications:</i> the stress drop varies for crustal earthquakes (> 30 bar), according to the depth of the rupture, increasing with depth (> 15 km). Events with higher stress drops have comparatively smaller rupture surfaces for a given magnitude. This could be invalid for events $M < 5$.	L (fault length in km) $\Delta\sigma$ (stress drop in bar)